## Virtual University of Pakistan

## Assessment Team Report

**Department of Education** 

**B.Ed Secondary (1.5 years)** 

## **Criteria Referenced Evaluation**

	Poor performance in most of the areas.	Fair performance in most of the areas.	Good performance for most areas / No poor performance in any areas.	Good to excellent performance in all areas.	Excellent performance in all areas.	Score
Criterion 1				$\checkmark$		3.67
Criterion 2					$\checkmark$	19.00
Criterion 3		$\checkmark$				6.00
Criterion 4				~		7.33
Criterion 5				~		11.73
Criterion 6				~		14.86
Criterion 7				✓		7.33
Criterion 8		$\checkmark$				6.00

Crite	Criterion 1 – Program Mission, Objectives and Outcomes				ght =	0.05
Fac	tors Score					
1	Does the Program have documented measureable objectives that support faculty / college and institution mission statements?	5	~	3	2	1
2	Does the Program have documented outcomes for graduating students?	$\checkmark$	4	3	2	1
3	Do these outcomes support the Program objectives?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Are the graduating students capable of performing these outcomes?	5	~	3	2	1
5	Does the department assess its overall performance periodically using quantifiable measures?	5	4	3	~	1
6	Is the result of the Program Assessment documented?	5	4	3	~	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	10	8	0	4	0
	Score 1 (S1) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			3.67		
Crite	rion 2 – Curriculum Design and Organization			Wei	ght =	0.20
Fac	tors Score					
1	Is the curriculum consistent?	~	4	3	2	1
2	Does the curriculum support the program's documented objectives?	$\checkmark$	4	3	2	1
3	Are theoretical background, problem analysis and solution design stressed within the program's core material?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Does the curriculum satisfy the core requirements laid down by respective accreditation bodies? (refer Appendix A of the Self Assessment Manual)	~	4	3	2	1
5	Does the curriculum satisfy the major requirements laid down by HEC and the respective councils / accreditation bodies?	5	~	3	2	1
6	Does the curriculum satisfy the general education, arts and professional and other discipline requirements as laid down by the respective / accreditation bodies /	~	4	3	2	1
7	Is the information technology component integrated throughout the program?	~	4	3	2	1
8	Are oral and written skills of the students developed and applied in the program?	5	~	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	30	8	0	0	0
	Score 2 (S2) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =	19.00				
Crite	rion 3 – Laboratories and Computing Facilities				Weight =	
Fac	tors Score					
1	Are laboratory manuals / documentation / instructions etc. for experiments available and readily accessible to faculty and students?	5	4	~	2	1
2	Are there adequate number of support personnel for instruction and maintaining the laboratories?	5	4	~	2	1
3	Are the university's infrastructure and facilities adequate to support the program objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	0	0	9	0	0
	Score 3 (S3) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			6.00		
Crite	rion 4 – Student Support and Advising	Weight =			0.10	
Fac	tors Score					
1	Are the courses being offered in sufficient frequency and number for the students to complete the program in a timely manner?	~	4	3	2	1
2	Are the courses in the major area structured to optimize interaction between the students, faculty and teaching assistants?	5	4	~	2	1
3	Does the university provide academic advising on course decisions and career choices to all students?	5	4	~	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	5	0	6	0	0
	Score 4 (S4) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			7.33		

Crite	rion 5 – Process Control			Weig	ght =	0.15
Fac	tors Score					
1	Is the process to enroll students to a program based on quantitative and qualitative criteria?	$\checkmark$	4	3	2	1
2	Is the process above clearly documented and periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
3	Is the process to register students in the program and monitoring their progress documented?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Is the process above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
5	Is the process to recruit and retain faculty in place and documented?	5	~	3	2	1
6	Are the processes for faculty evaluation & promotion consistent with the institution mission?	~	4	3	2	1
7	Are the processes in 5 and 6 above periodically evaluated to ensure that they are meeting their objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
8	Do the processes and procedures ensure that teaching and delivery of course material emphasize active learning and that course learning outcomes are met?	5	~	3	2	1
9	Is the process in 8 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
10	Is the process to ensure that graduates have completed the requirements of the program base on standards and documented procedures?	~	4	3	2	1
11	Is the process in 10 above periodically evaluated to ensure that it is meeting its objectives?	5	4	~	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	20	8	15	0	0
	Score 5 (S5) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =			11.73		
Crite	rion 6 – Faculty			Wei	ght =	0.20
Fac	tors Score					
1	Are there enough full time faculty members to provide adequate coverage of the program areas / courses with continuity and stability?	5	4	3	~	1
2	Are the qualifications and interests of faculty members sufficient to teach all courses, plan, modify and update courses and curricula?	~	4	3	2	1
3	Do the faculty members posses a level of competence that would be obtained through graduate work in the discipline?	~	4	3	2	1
4	Do the majority of faculty members hold a PhD degree in their discipline?	5	4	3	2	~
5	Do faculty members dedicate sufficient time to research to remain current in their disciplines?	5	~	3	2	1
6	Are there mechanisms in place for faculty development?	~	4	3	2	1
7	Are faculty members motivated and satisfied so as to excel in their profession?	5	~	3	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	15	8	0	2	1
Score 6 (S6) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				14.86		
Crite	rion 7 – Institutional Facilities			Wei	ght =	0.10
Fac	tors Score					•
1	Does the institution have the infrastructure to support new trends such as e-learning?	~	4	3	2	1
2	Does the library contain technical collection relevant to the program and is it adequately staffed?	5	4	~	2	1
3	Are the class rooms and offices adequately equipped and capable of helping faculty carry out their responsibilities?	5	4	~	2	1
	Total Encircled Value (TV)	5	0	6	0	0
Score 7 (S7) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =				7.33		

Criterion 8 – Institutional Support				Weight =		0.10	
Fact	Factors Score						
1	Is there sufficient support and finances to attract and retain high quality faculty?	5	~	3	2	1	
2	Are there an adequate number of high quality graduate students, teaching assistants and Ph.D students?	5	4	3	$\checkmark$	1	
	Total Encircled Value (TV)		4	0	2	0	
	Score 8 (S8) = [TV/(No. of Questions *5)] *100 *Weight =		6.00				

## OVERALL ASSESSMENT SCORE =

**S1 + S2 + S3 + S4 + S5 + S6 + S7 +S8** 

 $= \frac{3.67 + 19.00 + 6.00 + 7.33 + 11.73 +}{14.86 + 7.33 + 6.00}$ 

<sub>=</sub> 75.92 / 100

**Comments:**